

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/748,068	12/22/2000	Mitchell Budniak	9771110-0007	3233	
. 7.	590 09/11/2003				
Jordan A. Sigale Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal P.O. Box 061080 Wacker Drive Station Sears Tower Chicago, IL 60606-1080		EXAMINER			
			snow, w	SNOW, WALTER E	
			ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
			2862	2862	
		•	DATE MAILED: 09/11/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N .	Applicant(s)			
	•	09/748,068	BUDNIAK ET AL.			
Office Action Summ ry		Examiner	Art Unit			
•	-	Walter E. Snow	2862			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh et with th correspondence address						
Peri d for Reply						
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repliperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16.	June 2003 .				
2a)□	•	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	on of Claims					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
, —	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
-	6) Claim(s) 1-12,32-34 and 40 is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) 13-22 and 32-34 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a	<ul> <li>The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>	ovisional application has been re-	ceived.			
Attachmer						
1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

'Application/Control Number: 09/748,068

Art Unit: 2862

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-9, 23-31 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Konopka.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 10-12 and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Konopka in view of Hale et al, of record.

Konopka discloses all of the claimed subject matter, note figs 1 and 2, except for using an LED to produce a in response to the energy discharge from the storage device. Hale teaches using a light bulb to produce a cue as claimed. It would have been obvious to produce a cue as claimed in the device of Konopka in view of the teaching of Hale. The use of an LED is considered an obvious design consideration.

Art Unit: 2862

Claims 13-22, 35-39 and 41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base 6. claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

W SNOW/pj

08/26/03